



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,062	06/25/2001	Virginia M. Litwin	48965-B/JPW/SHS/AAB	9893
75	90 05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
John P. White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/891,062	LITWIN ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication anno					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 29 March, 2004, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>06</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 March 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>40-47</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
		Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit: 1648			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter or right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 C.F.R. 1.116), or reinstate previously canceled claims (see M.P.E.P. 714.13). The claims, if amended as proposed, introduce new claim limitations that would not avoid any of the rejections set forth in the last Office action, potentially raise the issue of new matter, and clearly require further consideration or searching. Accordingly, the amendment does not place the case in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request for continued examination (RCE).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments that are relevant to the pending claims were previously addressed in the last Office action. Those arguments that are directed toward the claim amendments, which have NOT been entered, are moot and have not been considered. Applicants are reminded that appropriately drafted claim language directed toward those specific Mabs (e.g., PA-3, -5, -6, and -7) identified in the disclosure with the desired fusion inhibitory properties would be acceptable. Contrary to applicants' assertions, the proposed claim amendment did NOT adopt the Examiner's suggestions. The proposed claim amendment fails to clearly set forth the structural characteristics of the claimed antibodies and does not limit the antibodies to any of the aforementioned species.